UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Effective May 22, 2006
Eva Lee  Plaintiff(s),	NOTICE OF COURT CONFERENCE
-v- NYP Holding Inc.,	<u>07 Civ. 6475</u> (JSR)
Raymond Walsh, Jr.  Defendant(s).	
To: The Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s):	

The Honorable Jed S. Rakoff, U.S.D.J. has ordered that counsel for all parties attend a conference, at the time and place fixed below, for the purpose of case management and scheduling pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16. You are directed to furnish all attorneys in this action with copies of this notice and enclosures, and to furnish Chambers with a copy of any transmittal letter(s). If you are unaware of the identity of counsel for any of the parties, you should send a copy of the notice and rules to that party personally, informing the party that any unrepresented party is required to appear at the conference in person. Finally, upon receipt of this notice, please immediately furnish Chambers with a courtesy copy of your complaint and F.R.C.P. Rule 7.1 Statement, if applicable.

<u>DATE AND PLACE OF CONFERENCE</u>: <u>SEPTEMBER 10, 2007</u>, AT THE UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE, 500 PEARL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. IN COURTROOM 14-B AT 3:30 p.m.

No application for adjournment will be considered unless made within one week of the date of this notice. The fact that any party has not answered the complaint does not excuse attendance by that party or warrant any adjournment of the conference.

No later than one week prior to the conference, the parties shall furnish the Court with a written report of their agreements or disagreements regarding planning of discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). Enclosed is a form (Form D) for a Case Management Plan that the parties may utilize in making this report. In the absence of agreement, the Court, after hearing from counsel, will order a Case Management Plan and schedule at the conference. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Plan shall provide that the case be ready for trial within five months of the date of the conference.

In addition to the matters covered in Form D, counsel should also be prepared to address at the conference the factual and legal bases for their claims or defenses, any issue as to subject matter jurisdiction, and any other issue relevant to case management.

SO ORDERED.

5. KAKO

U.S.D.J.

**USDC SDNY** 

DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:

DATE FILED: 7-25-07

DATED: New York, New York 7-25-07

UNIT	red Form D—For cases assigned to Judge Rakoff TED STATES DISTRICT COURT	Effective March 29, 2004
	THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
Eva I	Lee Plaintiff(s),	<u>CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN</u> (JUDGE RAKOFF)
	-V-	<u>07 Civ. 6475</u> (JSR)
	Holding Inc., nond Walsh, Jr.	
- <b></b> -	Defendant(s).	x
	This Court requires that this case s FEBRUARY 12,	<del>-</del>
This p	After consultation with counsel for the parties, the for plan is also a scheduling order pursuant to Rules 16 and	
A.	The case (is) (is not) to be tried to a jury. [Circle as	s appropriate]
B.	Joinder of additional parties must be accomplished b	у
C.	Amended pleadings may be filed without leave of Co	ourt until
D.	Discovery (in addition to the disclosures required by	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)):
	1. <u>Documents.</u> First request for production of document request request may be served later than 30 days prior to the 6 below.	s may be served as required, but no document
	2. <u>Interrogatories.</u> Interrogatories pursuant to Rule District of New York must be served by permitted except upon prior express permission of Juneed be served with respect to disclosures automatical	. No other interrogatories are adge Rakoff. No Rule 33.3(a) interrogatories
	3. Experts. Every party-proponent of a claim (inclupanty claim) that intends to offer expert testimony in required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by claim that intends to offer expert testimony in opposite required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by designated as "rebuttal" or otherwise) will be permitt opinions covered by the aforesaid disclosures except application for which must be made no later than 10 preceding sentence. All experts may be deposed, but limit for all depositions set forth below.	. Every party-opponent of such ition to such claim must make the disclosures  . No expert testimony (whether ted by other experts or beyond the scope of the upon prior express permission of the Court, days after the date specified in the immediately

## 

completed by depositions shall not commence until all pred. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) or until four week	ng any expert depositions, see item 3 above) must be Unless counsel agree otherwise or the Court so orders, parties have completed the initial disclosures required by ks from the date of this Order, whichever is earlier. with no party having priority, and no deposition shall extend ave of the Court.		
5. Requests to Admit. Requests to Admit [insert date that is no later than 30 days p below].	rior to date of close of discovery as set forth in item 6		
above may be extended by the parties on parties are <u>certain</u> they can still meet the	. Interim deadlines for items 1–5 consent without application to the Court, provided the discovery completion date set forth in this paragraph, which wing to the Court of extraordinary circumstances.		
E. Post-discovery summary judgment motions in the form prescribed by the Court's Individual Rules of Practice may be brought on without further consultation with the Court provided that a Notice of any such motion, in the form specified in the Court's Individual Rules of Practice, is filed no later than one week following the close-of-discovery date (item D-6 above) and provided that the moving papers are served by, answering papers by, and reply papers by			
[the last of these days be discovery]. Each party must file its respective passuch papers are served. Additionally, on the same	being no later than six weeks following the close of apers with the Clerk of the Court on the same date that me date that reply papers are served and filed, counsel for n-electronic hard copy of the complete set of papers to the		
	al argument on any post-discovery summary judgment [date to be inserted by the Court], at which time the other requirements for the Joint Pretrial Order and/or other purt's Individual Rules of Practice.		
	erned by Judge Rakoff's Individual Rules of Practice. ith all of the Court's Individual Rules, as well as with the For the Southern District of New York.		
SO ORDERED.			
DATED: New York, New York	JED S. RAKOFF U.S.D.J.		